IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

THURSDAY, THE 5TH JULY 2007 / 14TH ASHADHA 1929

RP.No. 622 OF 2007(W)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.27283/2003

.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 TO 4 & 6

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION, VIKAS BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION, KOTTAYAM.

4. THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A & E), THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. THE SUB TREASURY OFFICER, THIRUVALLA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.A. SALIM.

RESPONDENTS:

1. M.CHANDRASEKHARAN PILLAI, RETD. LECTURER (SELECTION GRADE), (ENGLISH), N.S.S. HINDU COLLEGE, CHANGANASSERY, RESIDING AT MONTHRA HOUSE, CATHANKARAY P.O., THIRUVALLA, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

2. THE PRINCIPAL, N.S.S. HINDU COLLEGE, CHANGANASSERY.

BY ADV. SRI.S.HARIKRISHNAN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN J.

= = = = = = = = =

R.P.NO. 622/ 2007 IN W.P.(C) 27283/2003

= =

DATED THIS, THE 5TH DAY OF JULY, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

This is a petition seeking review of the judgment rendered on 17.8.2006 in W.P.(C) 27283/2003. The judgment was rendered following the Full Bench decision reported in **Accountant General v. Neelakantan Nair** (2003 (3) KLT 525) The contention is that the case was decided without notice to the legal principles contained in Rule 60(c) of Part I KSR. In other words, petitioner is only challenging the decision rendered. Since the review petition is not a re-hearing, the challenge, if any, against the judgment could only be by way of an appeal.

Dismissed.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

knc/-